

Owner / Applicant Information

Matt Reedy
Jackson County Board of Commissioners
360 SOUTH COUNTY ROAD 25 EAST

BROWNSTOWN IN 47220

Phone 8123586121

Email MREEDY@JEREEDYINC.COM

Submitter Information

Edwin Rensink
RTM Consultants Inc
6640 Parkdale Place

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3173297700

Email rensink@rtmconsultants.com

Designer Information

Eric Weflen, AIA
RQAW Corporation
10401 North Meridian Street

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3178157200

Email eweflen@RQAW.com

Project Information

Jackson County Judicial Center
109 South Sugar Street

Brownstown IN 47220

County JACKSON

Project Type New Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy

Project Status U F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? No Has Violation been Issued? No

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone: Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone: Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)

706, 2014 IBC

Conditions: A 2-hour fire barrier will be used to separate the new Judicial Center building from the existing Annex building, in lieu of a fire wall as required to separate separate buildings. The new Judicial Center will be a 2-story + partial basement structure to house the county courtrooms, court staff, clerk's offices, prosecutor's offices, and related ancillary functions. The building will be of Type IIB Construction, classified as A-3 and B Occupancies. The existing Annex is a 1-story structure of Type IIB Construction.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or \

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: 1. The existing cmu exterior wall of the Annex will be used as the 2-hour wall to separate the Judicial Center building. The door to the Annex will be replaced with a 90-minute rated door.
2. The Judicial Center will be structurally independent of the existing Annex structure.
3. The Judicial Center will be protected throughout with an automatic sprinkler system per NFPA 13.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: The existing cmu wall will serve adequately as the separation for the addition under the circumstances. Imposition of the rule would require construction of a separate fire wall + supporting structure for the Judicial Center in addition to the existing cmu wall.