

Owner / Applicant Information

Scott A Williams
Indianapolis Professional Firefighters Union - Local 416
748 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE

INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204

Phone 3172625161

Email MIKEREEVES@L416.COM

Submitter Information

Melissa Tupper
RTM Consultants, Inc.
6640 Parkdale Place

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3173297700

Email tupper@rtmconsultants.com

Designer Information

Michael Robert Eagan
Entheos Architects
802 Lord Street, Suite 200

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3179519590

Email eagan@entheosarchitects.com

Project Information

Indianapolis Professional Firefighters Union
748 Massachusetts Ave

INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204

County MARION

Project Type New Addition Alteration Existing Change of Occupancy

Project Status F=F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order? Has Violation been Issued?

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone: Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone: Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: Other Code (Not in the list provided)
2009 ICC A117.1, 404.2.10

Conditions: Vision lights in doors are 44" above the floor. Code requires doors with vision lights to be no more than 43" above the floor. See attached floor plan for door locations.

The project involves construction of a 3-story + partial basement addition to the existing the Indianapolis Professional Firefighters Union building, and limited renovation of the existing building.

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or welfare.
2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: Vision lights aren't required, but when provided must be no more than 43" above the floor.

A variance was granted for Riley Hospital for Children, 16-05-59(d), to permit the vision lights to be 45" above the floor.

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: It is a cost hardship to modify or replace the doors which are currently installed. This was cited during a final construction inspection of the finished project.