

Owner / Applicant Information

Matt Holder

19 SOUTH 6TH STREET

TERRE HAUTE IN 47807

Phone 8122351300

Email MHOLDER@HOLDERDESIGN.NET

Submitter Information

Rebecca Foste

Thyssenkrupp Elevator

8665 Bash Street

Indianapolis IN

Phone 3178417455

Email rebecca.foste@Thyssenkrupp.com

Designer Information

Matthew Holder

Holder Design

19 South 6th Street

Terre Haute IN

Phone 8122561300

Email mholder@holderdesign.net

Project Information

Lofts at Haute Maison

120 S 7th Street

Terre Haute IN 47807

County VIGO

Project Type New  Addition  Alteration  Existing  Change of Occupancy

Project Status  F=F=Filed U or Null=Unfiled

IDHS Issued Correction order?  Has Violation been Issued?

Violation Issued by: NA

Local Building Official

Phone:

Email:

Local Fire Official

Phone:

Email:

Variance Details

Code Name: ASME A17.1-2007

3.26.8

Conditions: This is a new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance #14-05-04

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or w

1

2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: This is a new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance #14-05-04

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.

Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.

Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.

Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: This is a new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance #14-05-04

Variance Details

Code Name: ASME A17.1- 2007  
3.19.4.1, 3.19.4.4, 3.19.4.5

Conditions: 

This is a new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance #14-05-04
--

DEMONSTRATION THAT PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE ARE PROTECTED:

- 1=Non-compliance with the rule will not be adverse to the public health, safety or welfare.
- 2= Applicant will undertake alternative actions in lieu of compliance with the rule to ensure that granting of the variance will not be adverse to public health, safety, or welfare. Explain why alternative actions would be adequate (be specific).

Facts: 

This is a new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance #14-05-04
--

DEMONSTRATION OF UNDUE HARDSHIP OR HISTORICALLY SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURE:

- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of physical limitations of the construction site or its utility services.
- Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of major operational problems in the use of the building or structure.
- Y Imposition of the rule would result in an undue hardship (unusual difficulty) because of excessive costs of additional or altered construction elements.
- Imposition of the rule would prevent the preservation of an architecturally or a historically significant part of the building or structure

Facts: 

This is a new Hydraulic MRL technology, which has not been adopted by the State of Indiana. Reference variance #14-05-04
--

